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EDITOR’S NOTE

It takes well over a month for enterprises to patch a critical vulnerability, let alone prevent an attack. With the threat landscape evolving at a rapid pace and advanced attacks from state-sponsored actors continuing to be the most difficult ones to be identified, it is imperative that companies are equipped with technological infrastructures that help them quickly identify and remediate advanced persistent threats (APT). In our Cover Story, we discuss how advanced threats have wreaked havoc for decades, with the case studies of Stuxnet, the world’s first digital weapon, and the great bank robbery of the Carbanak APT.

Move to our Buzz section where Dottie Schindlinger, Vice President & Governance Technology Evangelist for the Diligent Corporation, talks about how boards should tighten cybersecurity oversight—starting with themselves. She also discusses the role of CISOs in educating the board about cyber risk and shaping the board’s crisis response plan.

In our Under the Spotlight section, we interview Kevin Stallard, Senior Enterprise Security Architect, US Bank, on application security, how much coding a CISO should know, what makes a good engineer, and more.

Tell us what you think of this issue. If you have any suggestions, comments or queries, please reach us at editorial@cisomag.com.

Jay Bavisi
Editor-in-Chief
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Boards Should Tighten Cybersecurity Oversight—Starting with Themselves

Dottie Schindlinger
Vice President & Governance Technology Evangelist
Diligent Corporation
In the wake of the many high-profile data breaches in the news recently, many boards are striving to step up their cybersecurity oversight. However, progress has been slow. A January 2018 survey by Marsh and Microsoft found that 70 percent of board members ranked cyber risk as a top concern, but only 14 percent were “highly confident” in their company’s ability to respond to a cyber-attack.

Why this mismatch? Unfortunately, responsibility may partly rest with boards themselves. Improving cybersecurity requires a major culture shift—everyone at an organization needs to change their behavior to mitigate risk, from the board and executive team on down. However, too many boards are themselves not following best practices for cyber preparedness, setting a less than stellar example for those lower in the hierarchy.

For example, a 2017 survey conducted by Diligent and NYSE Governance Services found that 92 percent of board directors report using personal email—at least occasionally—for board communications. That’s a risky practice that could open the organization to embarrassing leaks of private information, or in the worst case, revelations of corporate secrets.

Luckily, CISOs can share and advocate for a few simple strategies that can greatly mitigate board directors’ risk of being hacked—and support a strong cybersecurity culture throughout their organization.

Take the Lead on Educating the Board About Cyber Risks

One of the main challenges that CISOs face is educating the board about the intricacies of cyber risk. Even for the most engaged board members, cybersecurity is an incredibly complex and intricate area of oversight. It is also a relatively new area of oversight, so most board members will not have had experience with it throughout the course of their careers.

“Traditional operating and compliance risks are well described. There is historic benchmark data to learn from,” said Dr. Anastassia Lauterbach, an entrepreneur and investor who serves on the board of commercial data and analytics company Dun & Bradstreet. “Cyber risk, however, can’t be boxed into one corporate function, limited to one particular geography, or handled by a consultancy.”

It is feasible that board members may be unaware of the myriad of cyber risks that companies face, including leaks from inside, DDoS attacks, and unsecured IoT devices. According to a 2017 ISACA report, only 21 percent of board directors are briefed on cybersecurity and other risk topics at every leadership meeting. Helping boards understand the full scope of the problem—and their organizations’ unique vulnerabilities—is a key job for CISOs.

With this in mind, CISOs should advocate to present directly to the board in person at regular intervals throughout the year. Providing expert cybersecurity insight at this top level can help boards understand the full scope of cyber risk, and will give them an opportunity to raise questions and plug gaps in their knowledge. It can also help ensure that discussions about cyber risk are regularly on the board meeting agenda, in line with recommendations from the National Association of Corporate Directors (NACD).

An equally important task for CISOs is to advocate cybersecurity training for all board members. This will help ensure that there are experienced individuals who can identify the connections between cybersecurity and overall company strategy sitting in those boardroom seats.

That same Diligent and NYSE survey found that 62 percent of board directors are not required by their organizations to undergo cybersecurity training—a major oversight. In an age when cybercrime costs the average company $11.7 million a year, according to Accenture, leaving oversight to the IT department is no longer an option for companies.

Thoroughly Vet Apps and Software Used by the Board to Communicate

Thoroughly vetting the apps and software used for board communications is another essential step to reduce risk. Most business departments are supported by dozens of software programs and online services that help employees do their jobs more efficiently and quickly. By contrast, most boards continue to rely on conference lines and PDFs shared by email—systems that have barely changed since the 1990s. Even the most unsophisticated hacker can access confidential and classified information on an unencrypted USB stick.
But in order to make well-reasoned decisions about cybersecurity policies, boards need to be familiar with the modern apps, platforms, and devices those policies are meant to protect. While CISOs have little control over what technology directors use on their own time, they can encourage boards to adopt up-to-date enterprise governance management software. Switching to paperless document sharing or secure messaging platforms can nudge board directors toward familiarizing themselves with new technologies—and the many different layers of security they require.

Shape the Board’s Crisis Response Plan

According to Accenture, a company has an average 130 cybersecurity breaches each year. For most large organizations, it’s only a matter of time before one of those breaches are big enough to make headlines. Therefore, CISOs must ensure that there is an open, continuous, and honest line of communication between IT staff and the board; one study found that 60 percent of IT staff do not report cybersecurity risks until they are urgent, and, therefore, more difficult to mitigate. Many also acknowledged that they try to filter negative results.

An insufficiently timely and sensitive response to such a crisis can do serious damage to a company’s reputation and bottom line. For instance, the public outcry against Facebook in the wake of the Cambridge Analytica scandal was worsened by reports that Facebook had known about the data breach for more than two years, but had never alerted any of the 50 million users who were affected. In the week after the story broke, Facebook’s stock plummeted, shaving $100 billion off the tech giant’s market cap.

Creating a comprehensive crisis response plan can help avoid that kind of blowback; if and when a cybersecurity scandal breaks, board members should know exactly what will happen and what their response will be. However, the Marsh and Microsoft survey found that only 30 percent of organizations currently have such a plan in place—and those that do, have not reviewed or updated their plans since they were initially developed, according to NADC. CISOs must help keep boards informed about current cybersecurity regulation to ensure that their actions are in-line with current legal requirements.

These days, it’s a question of when an organization will be hacked rather than if. By helping to create an educated and aware board, CISOs will be instrumental in supporting a cultural shift toward more secure cyber practices in their organizations—and ensure that the impact of such an attack, when it comes, will be limited.

Dottie Schindlinger is Vice President & Governance Technology Evangelist for the Diligent Corporation, where she promotes the intersection of board governance and technology as a recognized expert in the field. Dottie writes and presents on governance and technology related topics and the Diligent Governance Cloud through a variety of digital and print publications, webinars, conferences, and boardroom presentations to directors and executives globally.

The opinions expressed within this article are the personal opinions of the author. The facts and opinions appearing in the article do not reflect the views of CISO MAG and CISO MAG does not assume any responsibility or liability for the same.
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FINDING A NEEDLE IN A MILLION BARNS

Augustin Kurian
In 2010, a team of inspectors from International Atomic Energy Agency at Natanz, Iran, noticed that the centrifuges at a uranium enrichment plant were consistently and mysteriously failing. Five months later, some computers began crashing and rebooting repeatedly. The team trying to troubleshoot the issue did the routine checks, anticipating the problem would be malware. But it wasn’t like any other worm or virus they had come across. The malware went on to wreak havoc in the nuclear facility. What they didn’t know was that they had stumbled upon the world’s first digital weapon: Stuxnet.

Stuxnet, the 500kb worm, infected 14 uranium-enrichment plants in Iran. The malware relied on unsuspecting victims installing it and then spreading it over the network. It first targeted Microsoft Windows computers, proliferating into the system, and the network. Then, it targeted Siemens Step7 software, which was used to operate the centrifuges. Stuxnet compromised the centrifuges, spinning them out of control in order to tear them apart. It became clear that Stuxnet was the new face of 21st-century warfare: invisible, anonymous, and devastating.

Post Stuxnet, Iran retaliated with cyber espionage on Israel and its allies. In 2012, Saudi Aramco was attacked. More than three-fourths of their main computer network was destroyed and over 30,000 hard drives of Aramco personal computers were wiped. The hackers identified themselves as Cutting Sword of Justice. Saudi accused Iran of the attacks. This triggered escalating cyber warfare.

The story of Carbanak began when a bank from Ukraine asked us to help with a forensic investigation. Money was being mysteriously stolen from ATMs. Our initial thoughts tended towards the Tyupkin malware. However, upon investigating the hard disk of the ATM system, we couldn’t find anything except a rather odd VPN configuration (the netmask was set to 172.0.0.0).

“The story of Carbanak began when a bank from Ukraine asked us to help with a forensic investigation. Money was being mysteriously stolen from ATMs. Our initial thoughts tended towards the Tyupkin malware. However, upon investigating the hard disk of the ATM system, we couldn’t find anything except a rather odd VPN configuration (the netmask was set to 172.0.0.0).” stated the researchers from Kaspersky Labs in the blog Secure List.